UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,785	07/10/2003	Lawrence Wasicek	1001.1693101	2009	
28075 CROMPTON.	7590 12/12/2007 SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC	AGER & TUFTE, LLC		EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			NGUYEN, VI X		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Annlington No	Appliacette		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summan	10/616,785	WASICEK, LAWRENCE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Victor X. Nguyen	3734		
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	nur the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	<u>August 2007</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40 and 44</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,16-18,22,23,30-3		wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-15,19-21,24-29,33-34,38-40</u>	<u>,44</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	⊨xaminer. Note the attache	on Oπice Action or form P1O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
 Certified copies of the priority document 				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		n received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bure	•	t received		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	t received.		
Attachment(c)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number:

10/616,785 Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Pre-Appeal Brief filed 8/3/2007, with respect to claims 1-8,10-15,19-21,24-29,33-34,38-40 and 44 are acknowledged. Claims 1-40 and 44 are pending. Claims 9,16-18,22-23,30-32 and 35-37 were previously withdrawn from further consideration due to a restriction requirement. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Gray (6,461,370). Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 7, 15, 19, 29 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 7, the disclosure filed on 7/10/2003 does not describe a connector blends the first flexibility with the second flexibility. Also, in claims 15,19,29 and 33, the disclosure filed on 7/10/2003 does not describe a reduced size portion is defined at least one of the distal end of the proximal region and the proximal end of the distal region, and where both the proximal region and the distal region include a reduced size portion as recited in claims 29 and 33. Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number:

10/616,785 Art Unit: 3734

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2,4-5,8,10,13,20-21,24,27,34,38-40 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gray et al (6,461,370).

Gray discloses in figures 1-3, a medical device having the limitations as recited in the above listed claims, including: an elongated shaft consists of section 38,54 and 66 combined which includes a proximal section at 38 and a distal section at 66, where a connector 56 connects the proximal section and the distal section, where the connector is fixedly secured to both the proximal section and the distal section, and a filter 50 couples to the shaft, and where the proximal section comprises a first material which is a polyimide tube and the distal section comprises a second material, i.e., a coil spring (see col. 4, lines 54-65) which is different from the first material, where the connector comprises a third material, i.e., braid layer that is compatible for bonding or welded to both the first and second material, and where the device further comprises a covering which is described as a polymer sheath or a bonding sleeve at step 140, figure. 10. As to claims 39-40 and 44, Gray discloses in figures 1-3, an embolic protection filtering device having the limitations as recited in the above listed claims including: a filter assembly 50

Application/Control Number:

10/616,785 Art Unit: 3734

couples to the filter wire 38, where the filter assembly includes a filter frame, a filter membrane at 58, and a strut at 52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,6-7,9,11-12,14,25-26,28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al (6,461,370).

Gray et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Gray is silent regarding the first material comprises stainless steel and the second material includes nickeltitanium alloy or a bismuth alloy disposed adjacent the connector. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the first material comprises stainless steel and the second material includes nickel-titanium alloy or a bismuth alloy disposed adjacent the connector, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basic of its suitability for the intended use or as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8,10-15,19-21,24-29,33,34,38-40 and 44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is asked

Art Unit: 3734

Page 5

to please refer to the modified prior art rejection above where the examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Vgryen Vraob

Art Unit 3734

VN √N
12/6/2007

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN

IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SOHY PAIENIE